



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,211	07/07/2003	Harsch Khandelwal	1028-023US01	8049
28863 7590 08/30/2007 SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P. A. 1625 RADIO DRIVE SUITE 300 WOODBURY, MN 55125			EXAMINER SUN, SCOTT C	
			ART UNIT 2182	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 08/30/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/615,211	Applicant(s) KHANDELWAL ET AL.	
	Examiner Scott Sun	Art Unit 2182	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 June 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,7,8,11,12,14,15,20-24 and 27-49 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,7,8,11,12,14,15,20-24 and 27-49 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendments to the claims filed 6/1/2007 have been noted and entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 6/1/2007 regarding claim 1 and 24 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are summarized as:

- a. Prior art of record does not disclose uploading the global rules to the portable device of the claimed invention, but instead is an online web-based system.

3. In response to argument 'a', examiner notes that Winters's reward system is in fact online web-based. However, being a web-based online system means that the contents of the website are accessible remotely, by a PC or laptop or other computing system. The contents of the website are in fact uploaded to the client remote computer for processing and viewing. Even if applicant intends the claims to mean that a web-based system is not used, and explicitly states such a limitation, Winters teaches that "some and/or all aspects of the present invention could manifest themselves using non-browser-based technology (e.g. ICQ, IM technology, wireless devices, PDAs, kiosks, set-top boxes, etc" (emphasis added).

4. Applicant's arguments regarding amended claims 27, 43, 47, and 49 have been fully considered by are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection, as attached below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 20-22, 24, 27, 29-34, 36-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kolls (PG Pub #US 2001/0016819 A1) in view of Winters (PG Pub 2001/0034635).

7. Regarding claims 1 and 24, Kolls discloses a remote information capture system (system 500; hardware details shown in figure 4, various hardware embodiments shown in figures 3A-3F, paragraph 50, various methods shown in figures 9-18) for the capture, storage and manipulation of remote information, the system comprising:

a portable remote information capture device (cellphone embodiment shown in figure 3F, paragraph 61-62, Kolls also discloses that system 500 can be a laptop, palm, or digital camera; paragraph 50) located at a remote site for capturing remote information comprising consumer personal data (identification data or biometrics data) and consumer preference data (customer advertising data; figure 15; customer input for

service or help, figure 14; customer shopping data, figure 9B), the portable remote information capture device comprising:

- a reader (smart card reader 548, magnetic card reader 550, etc) for capturing the consumer personal data encoded on a consumer's identification (paragraph 57, 161),

- an entry module (mouse/keyboard means 510, voice/handwriting capture means 534, keypad 540, etc) useable by an operator for entering the consumer preference data (paragraph 45, 55, methods in figures 9B, 14, and 15);

- a computer system (a server 632, vending machine 640, or any other computer system connected to system 500, shown in figure 5) accessible by the portable remote information capture device operative to store the captures remote information (server example detailed in paragraph 157);

- a computer program operative to manipulate the captured remote information (paragraph 44).

Kolls does not disclose explicitly the computer system having a module for managing rewards. However, Winters further discloses a global rules manager module (LEDOS system) for managing one or more rewards based on the one or more global rules, the one or more global rules being uploaded to the portable remote information capture device (LEDOS, instant-win, lottery, redemption points, etc, paragraph 40). The portable remote information capture device further comprising a module for identifying a consumer who is eligible for the one or more rewards defined by the uploaded one or more global rules (paragraph 39, 42, 43). Examiner notes Winters teaches that the features of the rewards system can be implemented using wireless devices, or PDAs.

Furthermore, teachings of Winters, Gilberto and Kolls are from the same field of electronic commerce.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of invention to combine Winters's teachings with Kolls's teachings by implementing a reward system as disclosed by Winters in the system of Kolls for the benefit of attracting and retaining customers (paragraph 12, Winters).

8. Regarding claim 3, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the remote information capture system according to claim 1, and Kolls further teaches wherein the reader includes a bar code reader (figure 4, bar code reader). Examiner notes that a 1D barcode and 2D barcode capabilities would have been obvious in view of Koll's teachings to use a barcode reader.

9. Regarding claim 7, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the remote information capture system according to claim 1, and Kolls further teaches wherein the portable remote information capture device is a wireless device (cell phone example figure 3F, LAN network connection means in figure 4) accessing the computer system wirelessly (paragraphs 66-67).

10. Regarding claim 8, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the remote information capture system according to claim 1, and Kolls further discloses wherein the remote information capture system further comprises a verification module for verifying the captured consumer personal data (paragraphs 45, 70).

11. Regarding claim 11, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the remote information capture system according to claim 1, and Kolls further discloses a signature capture module for capturing patron signatures (figure 4, element 534; paragraph 83).
12. Regarding claim 12, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the remote information capture system according to claim 1, and Kolls further discloses wherein the reader includes a magnetic stripe reader (figure 4, element 550).
13. Regarding claim 14, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the remote information capture system according to claim 1, and Kolls further discloses wherein the portable remote information capture device further comprises a consumer interface for consumer participation (figure 4, keypad, display means, camera, speakers are all patron interfaces for patron to interact with the system).
14. Regarding claim 15, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the remote information capture system according to claim 1, and Kolls further discloses wherein the portable remote information capture device further comprises a fraudulent use detector (figure 4, alarm, paragraph 78).
15. Regarding claim 17, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the remote information capture system according to claim 1, and Kolls further teaches wherein the portable remote information capture device is uploaded with one or more global rules (paragraph 68, program code, service data, transaction data).
16. Regarding claim 20, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the remote information capture system according to claim 1, and Kolls further teaches wherein the portable remote information capture device comprises a privacy consent module for

capturing consumer privacy consent approval. The examiner notes that privacy consent for capturing patron approval is necessary through state or federal regulations, and therefore would be a necessary function before capturing or sharing personal information through e-commerce.

17. Regarding claim 21, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the remote information capture system according to claim 1, and Kolls further teaches wherein the remote information capture device further comprises a digital camera for capturing consumer photos (figure 4, camera).

18. Regarding claim 22, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the remote information capture system according to claim 1, and Kolls further teaches wherein the remote information is security rounds data (paragraph 78).

19. Regarding claim 27, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the remote information capture system according to claim 11, and Kolls further teaches wherein the signature capture module comprises: a module for storing the captured signature in a binary signature file format; and a module for reading the binary signature file format and creating an image of the captured signature (paragraph 83). Examiner notes that signature capture devices by definition operate with a stylus and save signatures as a bitmap image. Technology for recognizing a connection and disconnection of points are well known in electronic signature capturing, and would be obvious in view of Kolls teachings to use a handwriting capturer.

20. Regarding claim 29, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the remote information capture system according to claim 21, and Kolls further teaches wherein the

information capture device further comprises: a module (display means 580, 582) for providing an image information screen, the image information screen useable by the operator for associating the captured consumer photo with the consumer personal data (paragraph 94 and 98). Examiner notes that image files on computers can be renamed and therefore Kolls system can perform the functions claimed.

21. Regarding claim 30, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the remote information capture system according to claim 1, and Kolls further teaches wherein the computer program operative to manipulate the captured remote information is located on the portable remote information capture device. Examiner notes that because the portable remote information capture devices performs the functions of displaying and capturing the remote information, it also has computer program stored therein to instruct the hardware to perform these functions.

22. Regarding claim 31, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the system according to claim 1, and Kolls further teaches wherein the computer system is a laptop computer, and wherein the computer program operative to manipulate the captured remote information manipulates the captured remote information located on the laptop computer (figure 5, paragraph 50). Examiner notes that Koll teaches system 500 can be connected to a laptop, which would function as a vending machine for conducting e-commerce.

23. Regarding claim 32, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the system according to claim 1, and Kolls further teaches wherein the computer system is a database server, and wherein the computer program operative to manipulate the captured remote

information manipulates the captured remote information located on the database server (paragraph 116, 138).

24. Regarding claim 33, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the system according to claim 1, and Kolls further teaches wherein the remote information capture device accesses the computer system through a docking cradle (embodiment of system 500 being on a palm).

25. Regarding claim 34, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the system according to claim 1, and Kolls further teaches wherein the remote information capture device accesses the computer system through a dial up connection (modem 544).

26. Regarding claim 36, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the system according to claim 1, and Kolls further teaches wherein storing the captured remote information on the accessible computer system is done in batch files (paragraph 218).

27. Regarding claim 37, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the system according to claim 1, and Kolls further teaches wherein storing the captured remote information on the accessible computer system is done in real time (cell phone example in figure 3F, server described in paragraphs 86, 87). The examiner asserts that communications over a network such as LAN or WAN are performed with no perceptible delay, and thus are real time.

28. Regarding claim 38, and 39, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the system according to claim 1, and Kolls further teaches wherein the consumer's ID is a driver's license or a loyalty rewards card (paragraph 161). Examiner notes that both of these Identification are either smartcards or magnetic cards.

Art Unit: 2182

29. Regarding claim 40, Regarding claim 36, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the system according to claim 1, and Kolls further teaches wherein the portable remote information capture device accesses the computer system over the Internet (51).

30. Regarding claim 41, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the system according to claim 21, and Kolls further teaches wherein the remote information further comprises the captured consumer photo (paragraph 98).

31. Regarding claim 42, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the system according to claim 29, and Kolls further teaches wherein the captured consumer photo is associated with the consumer personal data through an image number. Examiner notes that photos can be named arbitrarily by letters and/or numbers.

32. Regarding claim 43, Kolls and Winters combined discloses claim 30 but does not explicitly teach an Internet address generator. However, the examiner asserts that generating user information such as email addresses to match a user name is well known in the art of e-commerce. An example is given by Winters (paragraph 73) in which e-mail information can be automatically filled in for the user for review (display) and confirmation (accepting a change). Therefore it would have been obvious to incorporate this feature into the system disclosed by Kolls for the benefit of providing convenience to the user.

33. Regarding claim 44, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the system according to claim 32, and Kolls further teaches an electronic marketing engine for electronic marketing utilizing the captured data (paragraph 116, 138).

34. Regarding claim 45, Kolls and Winters combined discloses claim 30 but does not disclose explicitly an electronic contest generator. However, Winters further discloses an electronic contest generator (paragraph 40, instant-win, lottery, redemption points). Examiner notes that the same reasons in rejection of claim 9 can be applied to use the contest generator disclosed by Winters in the system of Kolls.

35. Regarding claim 46, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the system according to claim 32, and Kolls further teaches means for driving customers to a website utilizing captured data (background). Kolls describes prior art which utilizes PC to conduct e-commerce by browsing webpages, since a user of PC enters information into the PC to be directed to a website. Some well-known examples of such systems are Ebay and Amazon.

36. Regarding claim 47, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the system according to claim 1, and Winters further teaches wherein the computer program comprises an incorporator for incorporating at least one of the captured consumer personal data and consumer preference data as a text data within a multimedia presentation (displaying the user information, paragraph 73).

37. Regarding claim 49, Kolls and Winters combined discloses the system according to claim 1, and Winters further discloses module for defining odds for one or more rewards, module for selecting a random winner from a group of consumers who have provided corresponding consumer personal data and consumer reference data, the one or more rewards being provided based on at least one of the uploaded one or more global rules, the defining module and the selecting module (instant-win, lottery

functionality, paragraph 40, 63). Examiner notes that instant-win and lottery functionalities are well known at the time of invention. The odds defining and winner selection processes would have been obvious given the teachings from Winters to incorporate these games.

38. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kolls and Winters further in view of Sugar et al (PG Pub 2002/0029164).

39. Kolls and Winters combined discloses claim 1 but does not teach explicitly capturing parking data remotely. However, Sugar discloses the system wherein the remote information is parking data (paragraph 27). Furthermore, teachings of Sugar and Kolls are from the same field of e-commerce.

Therefore it would have been obvious at the time of invention to combine Sugar's invention with Kolls's invention by adding the website features to reserve and pay for parking disclosed by Sugar into the system disclosed by Kolls for the benefit of reducing cost in handling parking operations.

40. Claims 28 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kolls and further in view of Java GUI programming (teachings readily available over the Internet or in textbooks, relevant chapters are attached to previous office action).

41. Regarding claims 28, 35, and 48, Kolls and Winters combined discloses demographic data capture screen (customer survey 168), but does not teach the

various buttons and lists used for data entry. However, programming languages such as Java has provided numerous GUI (graphical user interface) data entry features, including buttons and selection lists.

Teachings of Kolls and Java GUI are from the same field of computer user interfaces for data entry. Therefore, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill at the time of invention to program GUI with buttons and selection lists in data capture screens for the benefit of easy data entry.

42. Claim 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kolls in view of Winters and further in view of Java GUI programming.

Regarding claim 48, Kolls and Winters combined discloses email capture screen, but does not teach the various buttons and lists used for data entry. However, programming languages such as Java has provided numerous GUI (graphical user interface) data entry features, including buttons and selection lists.

Teachings of Kolls, Winters and Java GUI are from the same field of computer user interfaces for data entry. Therefore, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill at the time of invention to program GUI with buttons and selection lists in data capture screens for the benefit of easy data entry.

Conclusion

43. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Sun whose telephone number is (571) 272-2675. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10:30am-7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim N. Huynh can be reached on (571) 272-4147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SS



KIM HUYNH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
8/22/07